



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,777	11/10/2003	Cedomila Ristic-Lehmann	FA/263	7870
28596	7590	12/07/2005	EXAMINER	
GORE ENTERPRISE HOLDINGS, INC.			HU, HENRY S	
551 PAPER MILL ROAD			ART UNIT	
P. O. BOX 9206			PAPER NUMBER	
NEWARK, DE 19714-9206			1713	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/706,777	Applicant(s) RISTIC-LEHMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Henry S. Hu	Art Unit 1713	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Henry S. Hu. (3)_____.

(2) Dianne Burkhard. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 02 December 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: all.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

H. Hu

12-2-2005

Ling-Sui Choi
LING-SUI CHOI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Several issues raised on Final rejection are discussed. All arguments are on the aerogel material made by Stepanian. The Applicants propose to amend parent Claim 1 by using the language of "essentially consisting of". In order to be possibly distinguishable from the prior art Stepanian, the Examiner suggests narrowing down as following: to incorporate the weight ratio of Claims 5-7, to specify the size of powdery final material, to specify reinforcing phase being not lofty and fibrous, and to present some more unexpected or excellent properties on final material.